Original Research Article……….

International Journal of Agricultural Invention

(online available at www.agriinventionjournal.com)

***International Journal of Agricultural Invention* 1(2): 124-128: December, 2016**

ISSN: 2456 – 1797 (P)

Impact of nitrogen fertilizers on methane emissions from flooded rice

Sandeep K. Malyan, *Arti Bhatia, Ritu Tomer, Om Kumar

Centre for Environment Science and Climate Resilient Agriculture, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

***Corresponding email:** *artibhatia.iari@gmail.com*

ARTICLE INFO

Original Research Article
Received on September 15, 2016
Accepted on October 26, 2016

Article Authors
Sandeep K. Malyan, Arti Bhatia, Ritu Tomer, Om Kumar

Corresponding Author Email
artibhatia.iari@gmail.com

PUBLICATION INFO

International Journal of Agricultural Invention (IJAI)
RNI: UPENG/2016/70091
ISSN: 2456-1797 (P)
Vol.: 1, **Issue:** 2, **Pages:** 124-128
Journal Homepage URL
http://agriinventionjournal.com/
DOI: 10.46492/IJAI/2016.1.2.1

ABSTRACT

Methane is second most potent greenhouse gas emitted under anaerobic condition in rice soils. Effects of different nitrogen fertilizer application on methane emissions in flooded paddy field were studied. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three treatments and three replications. The treatments were control (0 kg N ha^{-1}), urea (120 kg N ha^{-1}) and ammonium sulfate (120 kg N ha^{-1}). In all treatments P (60 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1}) along with K (40 kg K_2O ha^{-1}) were also applied as basal dose. The cumulative seasonal methane flux was highest in urea 36.3 (kg ha^{-1}) followed by control 35.2 (kg ha^{-1}) and ammonium sulfate 28.5 (kg ha^{-1}). Ammonium sulfate application reduced total seasonal emission by 19.5% as compared to control while it reduced CH_4 emissions by 21.6% as compared to urea application. On the basis of this study we can conclude that application of ammonium sulfate is an effective tool for mitigating methane emissions from rice soils.

KEYWORDS

Rice, Methane, Urea, Ammonium Sulfate

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

Malyan, S. K., Bhatia, A., Tomer, R., Kumar, O. (2016) Impact of nitrogen fertilizers on methane emissions from flooded rice, *International Journal of Agricultural Invention,* 1(**2**): 124-128. **DOI**: 10.46492/IJAI/2016.1.2.1

Methane (CH_4) atmospheric concentration has significantly rises due anthropogenic activity. Graedel and McRae (1980) presented first evidence that atmospheric concentration of CH_4 is increasing. In agriculture submerged paddy soils are the major source of CH_4 emission to atmosphere. Under continues standing water soil redox potential (Eh) drops sharply within few days and leads to process methanogenesis in soil (Kumar and Malyan, 2016). In methanogensis, soil archaea methanogens degraded organic matter and produce CH_4 (Malyan *et al*., 2016). CH_4 emission from rice soil is a net balance of production by methanogens in reducing environment after oxidation by methanotrophs in oxidizing environment and it is influenced by several factors such as water conditions, Eh, soil temperature, pH, fertilizer managements, and organic matter (Gupta *et al.,* 2016, Hussain *et al.,* 2015). Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is second most consumed cereal in world after corn and out of total rice 90% is cultivated in Asia under irrigated conditions (Pramanik and Kim, 2016). CH_4 is second most potent greenhouse gas after carbon dioxide and it is 25 times greenhouses gas as compared to carbon dioxide (Bhatia *et al*. 2011). According to IPCC (2014) CH_4 contributes 16% of total emissions at global level and out of total rice field alone contribute 10% of total CH_4 emission at global level (Global Methane Initiative, 2011). Kumar *et al*. (2016) reported that by the end of twenty first century global mean temperature may rise up to 1.5 ˚C due to raise in global greenhouses gases atmospheric concentrations. Global warming is major concerned of 21^{st} century for scientific and policy maker. As the world population was increasing so under such scenario CH_4 mitigation from rice field needed without having any negative impact on rice production. Rice production depends on type and amount of nitrogen (N) based fertilizer applied for cultivation. N based fertilizer amendments may be used for CH_4 emissions mitigation from the rice soil. Impact of different N based fertilizer on CH_4 emission is less elevated so it is needed. The objective of field experiment is to evaluate the impact of nitrogen fertilizer on CH_4 emissions from rice soil under continuous flooded condition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description

Field experiment was conducted at the research farm of Indian Agricultural Research

Institute, New Delhi, India, during kharif cropping season of year 2015 (Fig. 1.) The climatic condition of the region was sub-tropical, semi arid that was characterized by dry winter and maximum rainfall occurs during from June to September of year Fig. 2.The soil in experimental site was sandy loam in texture and pri-transplanting physicochemical of experimental site soil are mentioned in Table 1.



Fig. 1. Research farm of Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Table 1. Pri-transplanting physicochemical properties of the experimental site

Soil Parameter	Value
Sand (%)	46
Slit (%)	32
Clay (%)	22
pH (1:2.5 :: soil: water)	8.4
Organic C (%)	0.58
CEC* (c mol kg^{-1})	7.3
Hydraulic conductivity (cm d^{-1})	4.7
Olsen P (kg ha^{-1})	31.9
$KMnO_4$ extractable N (kg ha^{-1})	250
NH_4^+-N (kg ha^{-1})	24.8
NO_3^--N (kg ha^{-1})	34.1
Moisture content at field capacity (%)	21.2

Experimental Design and Treatments Details

The experiments consist of three treatments with three replicate each which are arranged in RBD. Composition and dose of various treatments were mentioned in Table 2. Pusa Basmati-1509 variety of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) was adopted for conducting the experiment. Two to three rice seedlings (23 days age) were transplanted at 15 x 20 cm spacing. Continuous flooding condition at 8 ± 4 cm water level was maintained by groundwater irrigation for entire cropping period. The field was naturally allowed to dry three weeks before harvesting of crop. No chemical interventions (pesticide and herbicide) were applied to avoid their additional effects. Weeding in done manual when were required.

Methane Sampling Collection and Analysis

Gas samples were collected at 7 days regular interval throughout the cropping years using manual closed chamber technique (Hutchinson and Mosier 1981). Gas samples were collected between 9 am to 11 am and samples were withdrawn from top of the chamber using 20 ml air-tight syringes at 0, 1/2 and 1 hrs. Concentration of CH_4 gas in the collected gas samples were measured by using gas chromatography equipped with column and a flame ionization detector according to Hutchinson and Mosier (1981).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Methane emission among all treatments was low during first three weeks and significantly increased with plant growth and lower soil Eh. The highest flux peak was observed between 35-42 days after transplanting (DAT) and second peak occur between 56-70 DAT (Fig 1). Two higher CH_4 peaks may be due to degradation of soil organic matter by methanogens bacteria under anaerobic conditions and similar flux were also reported by Suryavanshi *et al.* (2013) in rice soil. The cumulative seasonal CH_4 flux was 35.2 kg ha^{-1} under the control treatment. The maximum cumulative CH_4 flux was recorded in urea (36.3 kg ha^{-1}) treatment followed by control (35.2kg ha^{-1}) and ammonium sulfate (28.3 kg ha^{-1}). As compare to control, urea fertilizer application enhances CH_4 emissions by 2.72% and ammonium sulfate amendments reduce CH_4 emissions by 19.5% as compared to control (Fig. 2.). Ammonium sulfate application mitigates total seasonal CH_4 emissions by 21.6% over to urea Fig. 2. The higher CH_4 emission under nitrogen applied plots over no nitrogen amendments has been reported (Xia *et al*. 2014). Urea application enhances the ammonium ions concentration in soil and due to structural symmetry between CH_4 and ammonium ion (Malyan *et al*. 2016) methanotrophs bind with



Fig. 2. Metrological data of experimental site

Table 2. Different treatments used during experiment

Treatment	Doses	Method of application
Control	N (0 kg N ha^{-1}), P (0 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1}), K (0 kg K_2O ha^{-1})	Not applicable
Urea	N (120 kg N ha^{-1}), P (60 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1}), K (40 kg K_2O ha^{-1})	P and K were applied basally, while N (Urea) applied in three splits in 50% (basal) and, 25% (tillering) and 25% (panicle initiation) of total dose.
Ammonium Sulfate	N (0 kg N ha^{-1}), P (60 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1}), K (40 kg K_2O ha^{-1}), AS (120 kg N ha^{-1})	P and K were applied basally, while N (Ammonium sulfate) applied in three splits in 50% (basal) and, 25% (tillering) and 25% (panicle initiation) of total dose.

ammonium ions instead of CH_4 therefore results in less CH_4 oxidation by methanotrophs in soil which final result in higher CH_4 emission from soil (Schimel, 2000). Minami (1995) observed about more than 15% reduction in average CH_4 flux from rice soil by soil incorporated with ammonium sulfate at 200 kg N ha^{-1} rate as compared to 200 kg N ha^{-1} urea incorporation. Similar finding were also observed by Ali *et al.* (2012) and they reported 16% and 21% reduction in total seasonal CH_4 flux by ammonium sulfate over urea in upland and lowland rice soil in Bangladesh respectively. On ammonium sulfate ions soil concentration of active sulfate ions increases (Ali *et al.* 2012) which result in higher population of sulfate reducing bacteria in soil. Sulfate reducing bacteria compete with methanogens bacteria for organic matter as they both feed on similar substrate (Hussain *et al*. 2015) therefore on application of ammonium sulfate suppressed methanogens activity in soil which result in CH_4 flux reduction form rice soil.

CONCLUSION

In is field study we evaluate the impact different nitrogen based fertilizer impact on methane emission from paddy soil. A total cumulative methane emission was highest in urea applied plots and lowest in ammonium sulfate plots. Ammonium sulfate application reduces 19.5% and 21.6% as compare to urea and control respectively. Therefore, based on this field study data it could be suggested that application of ammonium sulfate significantly reduce methane from rice soils.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Director, Dean and PG School of Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi for providing all facilities required in this study. Financial support to Mr. Sandeep K. Malyan during Ph.D as UGC-JRF provided by University Grant Commission (UGC), New Delhi Government of India is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

Ali, M. A., Farouque, M. G., Haque, M. and Kabir, A. U. (2012) Influence of soil amendments on mitigating methane emissions and sustaining rice productivity in paddy soil ecosystems of Bangladesh. *J. Environ. Sci. Nat. Resour*. **5**: 179–185.

Bhatia, A., Ghosh, A., Kumar, V., Tomer, R., Singh, S. D. and Pathak, H. (2011) Effect of elevated tropospheric ozone on methane and nitrous oxide emission from rice soil in north India. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ*. **144**: 21–28.

Edenhofer, O., R. Pichs-Madruga, Y. Sokona, E. Farahani, S. Kadner, K. Seyboth, A. Adler, I. Baum, S. Brunner, P. Eickemeier, B. Kriemann, J. Savolainen, S. Schlömer, C. von Stechow, T. Zwickel and J. C. Minx (2014) International Panel on Climate Change, Climate Change, Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the *Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

Global Methane Initiative (2011) Global methane emissions and mitigation opportunities. GMI, [Online] Available: www.globalmethane. org (August **17**, 2011).

Graedel, T. E. and McRae, J. E. (1980) On the possible increase of the atmospheric methane and carbon monoxide concentrations during the last decade. Geophys. Res. Lett. **7**: 977-979.

Gupta, D. K., Bhatia, A., Kumar, A., Das, T. K., Jain, N., Tomer, R., Malyan, S. K., Fagodiya, R. K., Dubey, R. and Pathak, H. (2016) Mitigation of greenhouse gas emission from rice–wheat system of the Indo-Gangetic plains: Through tillage, irrigation and fertilizer management. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **230**: 1–9.

Hussain, S., Peng, S., Fahad, S., Khaliq, A., Huang, J., Cui, K. and Nie, L. (2015) Rice management interventions to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions: a review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res*. **22**: 3342–3360.

Hutchinson, G. L. and Mosier, A. R. (1981) Improved Soil Cover Method for Field

Measurement of Nitrous Oxide Fluxes. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J*. **45**:311-316.

Kumar, R., Mina, U., Gogoi, R., Bhatia, A. and Harit, R. C. (2016) Effect of elevated temperature and carbon dioxide levels on maydis leaf blight disease tolerance attributes in maize . *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **231:** 98–104.

Kumar, S. S. and Malyan, S. K. (2016) Nitrification Inhibitors: A Perspective tool to Mitigate Greenhouse Gas Emission from Rice Soils. *Curr. World Environ.* **11**: 423–428.

Malyan, S. K., Bhatia, A., Kumar, A., Gupta, D. K., Singh, R., Kumar, S. S., Tomer, R., Kumar, O. and Jain, N. (2016) Methane production, oxidation and mitigation: A mechanistic understanding and comprehensive evaluation of influencing factors. Sci. Total Environ. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2016.07.182

Minami, K. (1995) The effect of nitrogen fertilizer use and other practices on methane emission from flooded rice. *Fertil. Res.* **40:** 71–84.

Pramanik, P. and Kim, P. J. (2016) Contrasting effects EDTA applications on the fluxes of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from straw-treated rice paddy soils. *J. Sci. Food Agric*. n/a-n/a. doi: **10**.1002/jsfa.7727.

Schimel, J. (2000) Rice, microbes and methane. *Nature* **403**: 375, 377.

Suryavanshi, P., Singh, Y. V., Prasanna, R., Bhatia, A. and Shivay, Y. S. (2013) Pattern of methane emission and water productivity under different methods of rice crop establishment. *Paddy Water Environ*. **11:** 321–329.

Xia, L., Wang, S. and Yan X. (2014) Effects of long-term straw incorporation on the net global warming potential and the net economic benefit in a rice–wheat cropping system in China. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **197:**118-127.

Manuscript received on September. 15, 2016, Accepted for publication on Oct. 26, 2016